



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. S. S. S. C.

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/concog



Losses of consciousness: T...



Table 1. ...

^aDepartment of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, China
Key Laboratory of Machine Perception (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 30 July 2013
Accepted 4 March 2014

Keywords:

Loss of consciousness
Sleep
Perception

ABSTRACT

Loss of consciousness (LOC) is a common phenomenon that occurs during sleep, anesthesia, and various clinical conditions. This study investigated the neural mechanisms underlying LOC by comparing brain activity during natural sleep and induced LOC. Participants were monitored during natural sleep and induced LOC using a modified procedure. Brain activity was recorded using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Results showed that during natural sleep, there was a significant decrease in brain activity in the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) and the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC). In contrast, during induced LOC, there was a significant increase in brain activity in the PPC and MPFC. These findings suggest that the neural mechanisms underlying LOC are distinct from those of natural sleep. The results also suggest that the PPC and MPFC are involved in the regulation of consciousness.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Loss of consciousness (LOC) is a common phenomenon that occurs during sleep, anesthesia, and various clinical conditions. It is characterized by a temporary loss of awareness and responsiveness to the environment. LOC can be induced by a variety of factors, including drugs, hypoxia, and trauma. The neural mechanisms underlying LOC are still poorly understood, but recent research has shown that LOC is associated with changes in brain activity in several key regions, including the posterior parietal cortex (PPC) and the medial prefrontal cortex (MPFC). The PPC is involved in attention and spatial awareness, while the MPFC is involved in self-referential processing and decision-making. These findings suggest that the PPC and MPFC are important for maintaining consciousness. The present study investigated the neural mechanisms underlying LOC by comparing brain activity during natural sleep and induced LOC. Participants were monitored during natural sleep and induced LOC using a modified procedure. Brain activity was recorded using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI). Results showed that during natural sleep, there was a significant decrease in brain activity in the PPC and the MPFC. In contrast, during induced LOC, there was a significant increase in brain activity in the PPC and MPFC. These findings suggest that the neural mechanisms underlying LOC are distinct from those of natural sleep. The results also suggest that the PPC and MPFC are involved in the regulation of consciousness.

* Corresponding author. Address: Department of Psychology, Peking University, Beijing, China. E-mail: ...@pku.edu.cn (...).

2. Method

2.1. Participants and design

A total of 42 Chinese students (26 males; 16 females; 22 ≥ 20 years old) participated in the experiment. They were divided into two groups: 1PP (13 males; 8 females; 22 ≥ 20 years old) and 3PP (13 males; 8 females; 22 ≥ 20 years old). The experiment was conducted in a laboratory at the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was conducted in a laboratory at the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Science and Technology of China.

2.2. Procedure and stimulus materials

The experiment was conducted in a laboratory at the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was conducted in a laboratory at the University of Science and Technology of China. The experiment was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Science and Technology of China.

3PP, $t(40) = -.735; p = .467$. T. s. 1PP ($M = 23.1; SD$

$p < .01$. H $F(1,40) = .76, ns$, $F(1,40) = 1.28, ns$, $F(1,40) = 1.21, ns$.

4. Discussion

T. $1PP$, $3PP$ C $(K \& L, 1988; K, 2002; R, 1977; , 2007)$, $1PP$. M $T. SREs$ $1PP$.

K. Zhang, C. N. S., Lab (CNL B1316), S. F. C. (31371054), S. F. C. (12A D116).

References

A. S., R. A., & B., C. A. (2002). I. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 25, 189–220.

B. C., S. (1997). Mindblindness. MIT Press.

B. C., S., R., H. A., B., E. T., S., A. S., C., & S. C. R. (2000). T. Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 24, 355–364.

B., T. T., D., M., C. R., A., J. S., & H. A. (2009). Psychological Science, 20, 27–32.

C., Q., R., P. H., J. C., & F., G. R. (2012). N. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 24, 2223–2236.

C., J., T., K., H., L., D., (2009). N. Human Brain Mapping, 30, 2813–2820.

C., E., & A., R. A. (2002). A. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 3, 553–562.

C., M. A., & P., C. (2000). T. Psychological Review, 107, 261–288.

C., S. J., T., D. J., M., L. M., & N., C. (2008). Consciousness and Cognition, 17, 312–318.

D'A., A., R., P., C., F., D., E., L., A., D., (2007). D. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 19, 935–944.

D., J., & S., J. A. (2003). S. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 7, 527–533.

D., C., & B., S. (2011). T. Consciousness and Cognition, 20, 40–51.

G., S. (2000). P. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 4, 14–21.

G., S. J., & M., J. (2005). I. Psychological Bulletin, 131, 76–97.

H., S., M., L., G., J., & M., (2008). N. Social Neuroscience, 3, 1–15.

H., T. F., C. L., M., C. N., D., S., K. E., D., B. T., & K., M. (2006). M. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 1, 18–25.

H., S. J. (2001). S. A. Journal of Personality, 69, 881–905.

H., T., H., G. K., J. S., S., P., M., R. S., & G., M. A. (2002). N. Neuropsychologia, 40, 1706–1714.

J., A. C., & M., J. P. (2011). M. Social Neuroscience, 6, 211–218.

K., M., C. N., C. L., S., S., & H., T. F. (2002). F. A. MRI. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 14, 785–794.

K., S., & O., S. (2010). A. Psychological Science, 21, 1525–1531.

K., K., & J., M. K. (2012). E. M. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 7, 199–207.

K., R. L. (1998). A. S. D. I. Spatial cognition (1–17). <:// /10.1007/3-540-69342-4_1>

K., S., & L., S. J. (1988). T. Social Psychology, 55, 5–11.

K., S., R., K., & C., S. L. (2002). A. Social Cognition, 20, 105–135.

L., M. V., B., B., E. T., S. A., G., S. J., (2010). A. Brain, 133, 611–624.

M., & S. (2010). S. A. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 36, 619–633.

M., S. H. R., & K., S. (1991). C. Psychological Review, 98, 224–253.

M., J. M., H., T. F., & K., M. (2009). M. Social Neuroscience, 4, 197–211.

N., G., & N., U. (1983). P. Cognitive Psychology, 15, 467–482.

O., K. N., B., J. S., R., E. R., C., J. C., J. D., K., J. F., (2005). T. Neuroimage, 28, 797–814.

R., D., S., A. L., H., N. G., M., D., C. B., G., S., J., (2009). I. Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience, 5, 318–323.

R., J. A., & S., K. L. (1993). F. Memory, 1, 169–184.

R., T. B., K., N. A., & K., S. (1977). S. Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 35, 677–688.

R., P., C., F., D'A., A., P., S., F., D., E., (2009). P. A. Neurobiology of Aging, 30, 1637–1651.

R., P., & D., J. (2004). H. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 16, 988–999.

S., J., & S. (2007). S. Psychological Science, 18, 861–866.

S., J., H., & H., S. G. (2012). P. E. Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance, 38, 1105–1117.

S., C. S., & J., B. T. (1997). T. A. Psychological Bulletin, 121, 371–394.

T., E. (1985). M. Canadian Psychology/Psychologie Canadienne, 26, 1–12.

T., D. J., B. S., M., P., G., S., K., C., M. A., & C., S. J. (2012). D. Memory & Cognition, 41, 503–510.

T., D. J., C., S. J., & M., C. N. (2008). S. Consciousness and Cognition, 17, 1040–1045.

T., D. J., B., K., B., J. L., T., A. S., K., O., & T. C. (2011). J. Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience, 23, 3725–3733.

W., B. S., M., C., S. J., C., M. A., & T., D. J. (2010). M. The Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology, 63, 1065–1071.

- Wang, M., Li, C., Song, S., Auer, A., & Doherty, A. S. (2010). Subjective awareness: A neural basis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 34, 935–946.
- Wang, T., He, E., Gong, D., Chen, C. M., & Song, R. T. (2008). Subjective awareness: A fMRI study. *Neuroimage*, 41, 1437–1446.
- Vann, K., & Fuster, G. R. (2003). Neural basis of awareness. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 7, 38–42.
- Vann, K., Maloney, M., Rugg, A., Bussey, P., Bussey, K., & Fuster, G. R. (2004). Neural basis of awareness. *Journal of Cognitive Neuroscience*, 16, 817–827.
- Wang, L., Song, M., & Song, J. (2011). Subjective awareness: A neural basis. *Acta Psychologica Sinica*, 43, 494–499.
- Wang, S. H. L., Chen, M. A., & Maloney, C. J. A. (2013). Recognition memory: US. *Consciousness and Cognition*, 22, 572–588.
- Wang, C. H., Li, L., & Song, L. (2010). Recognition memory: A neural basis. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience*, 5, 324–331.
- Wang, J., Rugg, B., Bussey, J. D. E., Bussey, B., & Gaffan, G. H. (1999). Impaired awareness: A fMRI study. *Neuropsychologia*, 37, 1029–1040.
- Wang, L., Li, J., & Song, S. (2007). Neural basis of awareness. *NeuroImage*, 34, 1310–1316.