



This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>




Fig. 2. The experimental task structure. The task consists of 2 sessions. In the first session, the test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°). In the second session, the test view is 60° from the adaptation view (0°). The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session.

The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session.

2.2. Results

The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session.

The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session.




Fig. 3. The percentage of test views judged as facing the opposite direction as the adaptation view. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session. The test view is 30° from the adaptation view (0°) in the first session and 60° from the adaptation view (0°) in the second session.

... 0° to 0°, ... 20°, ... (200), ...

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Psychological Science*, 17, 0–13.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2003). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Perception*, 32, 111–111.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (1999). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Proceedings of the American Philosophical Society*, 88, 2–2.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology A*, 53, 2–2.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Perception & Psychophysics*, 66, 2–2.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2001). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Nature Neuroscience*, 4(1), 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7, 0–0.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 13(s), 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (1999). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 335, 2–2.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (1999). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Experimental Brain Research*, 86, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (1999). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Vision Research*, 32, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Psychological Science*, 14, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 61, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Vision Research*, 46, 0–0.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Experimental Brain Research*, 167(1), 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2002). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Neuron*, 36, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Current Biology*, 19, 2–2.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Psychological Science*, 20, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2003). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Perception*, 32, 110–111.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2000). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Nature*, 428, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (1999). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 6, 1–1.

Bi, T., & Sagi, S. M. (2001). The effect of the number of elements on the perception of the size of a set. *Vision Research*, 41, 2–2.