



Eye Movements Reveal Delayed Use of Construction-Based Pragmatic Information During Online Sentence Reading: A Case of Chinese Lian...dou Construction

Chuanli Zang^{1,2*}, Li Zhang¹, Manman Zhang¹, Xuejun Bai¹, Guoli Yan¹, Xiaoming Jiang³, Zhewen He⁴ and Xiaolin Zhou^{4,5,6,7,8*}

¹ Academy of Psychology and Behaviour, Faculty of Psychology, Tianjin Normal University, Tianjin, China, ² School of Psychology, University of Central Lancashire, Preston, United Kingdom, ³ Department of Psychology, Tongji University, Shanghai, China, ⁴ School of Psychological and Cognitive Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China, ⁵ Beijing Key Laboratory of Behaviour and Mental Health, Beijing, China, ⁶ Key Laboratory of Computational Linguistics (Ministry of Education), Peking University, Beijing, China, ⁷ IDG/McGovern-PKU Institute of Brain Research, Peking University, Beijing, China, ⁸ Institute of Linguistics, Shanghai International Studies University, Shanghai, China

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Denise Hsien Wu, National Central University, Taiwan

Reviewed by:

Zude Zhu, Jiangsu Normal University, China Jing Zhao, Capital Normal University, China

*Correspondence:

Chuanli Zang czang@uclan.ac.uk Xiaolin Zhou xz104@pku.edu.cn

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Language Sciences, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychology

Received: 27 June 2019 Accepted: 17 September 2019 Published: 30 October 2019

Citation:

Zang C, Zhang L, Zhang M, Bai X, Yan G, Jiang X, He Z and Zhou X (2019) Eye Movements Reveal Delayed Use of Construction-Based Pragmatic Information During Online Sentence Reading: A Case of Chinese Lian...dou Construction. Front. Psychol. 10:2211. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02211 An event-related potential (ERP) study demonstrated that construction-based pragmatic constraints in Chinese (e.g., lian...dou that constrains a low-likelihood event and is similar to even in English) can rapidly influence sentence comprehension and the mismatch of such constraints would lead to increased neural activity on the mismatching word. Here we examine to what extent readers' eye movements can instantly reveal the difficulties of mismatching constraints when participants read sentences with the structure lian + determiner phrase + object noun + subject noun + dou + verb phrase (VP) + final commenting clause. By embedding high-likelihood or neutral events in the construction, we created incongruent and underspecified sentences and compared such sentences with congruent ones describing events of low expectedness. Relative to congruent sentences, the VP region of incongruent sentences showed no significant differences on first-pass reading time measures, but the total fixation duration was reliably longer. Moreover, readers made more regressions from the VP and the sentence-final region to previous regions in the incongruent than the congruent condition. These findings suggest that the effect of pragmatic constraints is observable during naturalistic sentence reading, reflecting the activation of the construction-based pragmatic information for the late integration of linguistic and extra-linguistic information at sentential level.

Keywords: eye movements, sentence construction, pragmatic constraint, Chinese reading, pragmatic inference

INTRODUCTION

To make sense of linguistic inputs in di erent communicative contexts, readers need to incrementally build linguistic representations based on local semantic constraint, and integrate this local representation with extra-linguistic (e.g., pragmatic) information in real time (Zhou et al., 2009, 2010; Jiang and Zhou, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013a,b; Clifton et al., 2016).

1

The negotiation of meanings derived at di erent representation levels determines when and how the pragmatic meaning is activated and used during sentence comprehension (Politzer-Ahles et al., 2013). In this sentence, Even a rich person cannot a ord such an expensive house, a less likely event a rich person cannot a ord an expensive house is constrained by the even construction, denoting the unexpectedness of what is described in the construction, and implying that any event which is more likely to happen than the embedded event must occur. If the event does not rank at the lowest end of the scale, embedding such event in the construction can result in infelicitousness (Fauconnier, 1975; Yuan, 2006). However, it remains unclear whether such construction-based pragmatic constraint can exert an immediate impact on local linguistic representation building and at what stage the detection of anomaly of such pragmatic constraint a ects the relevant processes (Filik et al., 2009; Jiang et al., 2013a; Jiang and Zhou, 2014).

Extensive evidence from ERPs (event-related brain potentials) has suggested that readers can immediately detect when an upcoming word is pragmatically incongruent with the prior sentential/discourse/communicative context (such as the prediction generated from the discourse representation, reader's world knowledge, or even the speaker identity), as indicated by an increased N400 response on the word that indexes an increased e ort of integrating the word into the pragmatic context (e.g., Van Berkum et al., 1999, 2003, 2008; Hagoort et al., 2004; Jiang et al., 2013a,b; Nieuwland, 2013; Li et al., 2014). Some studies showed a relatively late starting (~400 ms) but prolonged negativity e ect on the words (e.g., sentence-initial scalar quantifiers some kids were riding bicycles) preceded by a context mismatching the pragmatic meaning of the quantifier (e.g., a picture showing all kids were riding bicycles). This negative response indexes a process of canceling or inhibiting initially built pragmatic representation, implicitly indicating that pragmatic information is instantly used for online sentence processing (Politzer-Ahles et al., 2013). In contrast, research using the eye-tracking technique has observed plenty inconsistent findings (e.g., Rayner et al., 2004; see also Warren, 2011 for a review). It is evident that ERP research typically adopts rapid serial visual presentation (RSVP) paradigm in which one word at a certain time is presented in the screen and participants are required to fixate the target and avoid making eye movements. Therefore, the wordby-word presentation prevents natural eye movement behavior that usually occurs during normal reading such as parafoveal processing (i.e., information about a word in the parafovea is available before the word is directly fixated), word skipping, refixation, and regression. In the present study we used the same stimuli from an ERP study conducted by Jiang et al. (2013a) and employed an eye movement tracking technique to examine the precise time course of processing Chinese construction-based pragmatic information during normal sentence reading.

Previous eye-tracking studies on pragmatic processing are inconclusive about how early the pragmatic constraints can impact the eye-movement measures during on-line sentence reading. The case of *pragmatic implausibility* (the use of language is still plausible if one's world knowledge permits the language use in rare cases, similar to the label "pragmatic anomaly")

showed mixed evidence. For example, Murray and colleagues (Murray, 1998; Murray and Rowan, 1998; Kennedy et al., 2004) investigated whether readers could immediately detect the incongruence when a word was pragmatically incongruent with the context in a task where they were not explicitly reminded of the incongruent word in the sentence (i.e., when matching a probe sentence with the target sentence). Such incongruence arose given the low probabilistic expectancy of the linguistic input (the noun) in the given or inferred contextual information (the verb, e.g., the savages/uranium smacked the child). The authors reported very early parafoveal-on-foveal e ects of pragmatic plausibility (although this e ect appeared marginal in statistical significance), such that the pragmatically implausibility of the critical word inflated first pass reading times on its preceding regions (uranium), thus can be detected parafoveally before that word was directly fixated (see Drieghe, 2011; Clifton et al., 2016; for reviews).

The very early pragmatic e ects reported in Murray and colleagues seem to be restricted to local adjacent linguistic combination, and such parafoveal e ect disappeared when the noun and verb were separated by other adjunctive phrases (such as the princess with blonde hair delivered the packages; Murray, 2006). Ni et al. (1998) and Braze et al. (2002) used similar materials and asked participants to read sentences like The wall will surely crackbaseline/bitepragmaticanomaly after a few years in this harsh climate. They found that participants immediately detected the anomaly just at the critical regions "bite after" relative to "cracking after," whereas the pragmatic anomaly did not manifest its e ect until the word after the verb "bite." In Ni et al. (1998), pragmatic anomaly and baseline condition did not di er until the final region of the sentence (this harsh climate), with the first pass reading time being increased for the former rather than for the latter condition. Furthermore, Rayner et al. (2004) investigated the time course of implausibility e ect in the sentence frame (e.g., John used a knife_{baseline}/an axe_{implausible} to chop the large carrots for dinner last night), and found no e ect of implausibility during first pass reading of carrots. The potential e ect was further delayed in the condition in which the world-knowledge permits no way out (the impossible condition, e.g., John used a pump to inflate the large carrots for dinner). The go-past measure of eye movement, which includes the amount of time readers spent on the target word as well as the one spent on constituents preceding the target before moving forward to new portions of the sentence, was influenced by implausibility; but the e ect size of this measure was fairly small, indicating that the impact of context has no immediate e ect on eye movements during reading (see also Warren, 2011 for a review).

The pragmatic implausibility seems to be a ected by the discourse-level contextual information (Ferguson and Sanford, 2008; Xu et al., 2017). For example, although there was no s no s no sofof h finalct untilpra

context (Evolution dictates that cats are carnivores and cows are vegetarians. Families could feed their cat a bowl of carrots and

TABLE 1 An example of a set of sentences used in the experiment.

	Sentences												
Condition	Lian	Scalar adjective	Adjective phrase (AP)	Objective noun	Subject noun	Model verb (MV)	Main VP	Commentino clause (CC)					
Affirmative sen	tences												
Congruent	连	这么	危险的	大桥	成超	都能	走过去	真是勇敢					
	Even s	uch a dangerous bridg	ge Chengchao can	come across, he is	so brave								
Underspecified	连		这样的	大桥	成超	都能	走过去						
	Even s	uch a bridge Chengch	ao can come acro	oss, he is so brave									
Incongruent	连	这么	安全的	大桥	成超	都能	走过去	真是勇敢					
	Even s	uch a secure bridge C	nengchao can cor	ne across, he is so b	rave								
Negative senter	nces												
Congruent	连	这么	安全的	大桥	成超	都不能	走过去	真是胆小					
	Even s	uch a secure bridge C	nengchao cannot	come across, he is s	so timid.								
Underspecified	连		这样的	大桥	成超	都不能	走过去	真是胆小					
	Even s	uch a bridge Chengch	ao cannot come a	across, he is so timic	i								
Incongruent	连	这么	危险的	大桥	成超	都不能	走过去	真是胆小					
	Even s	uch a dangerous bridg	e Chengchao can	not come across, he	is so timid								

Regions of interest were bolded.

relocates the object noun to an earlier position in the sentence. The *Lian...dou...* construction in di erent experimental sets constrained a di erent event.

The main VP consisted of an action verb and a verb complement. The embedded event was manipulated by varying the DP, such that the DP was either a scalar adjective phrase "zheme/name/ruci [so] + adjective" to specify the likelihood of the event in the congruent and incongruent conditions or a demonstrative modifier "zheyangde/nayangde/rucide [such]" in the underspecified condition. In each set, the MV was in either a rmative or negative form, with a negation marker bu (not) either absent or present immediately before the main VP, creating the a rmative and the negative version of the sentences. Specifically, we replaced the a rmative modal verb with a negative counterpart and switched the adjectives in the congruent and incongruent conditions in the a rmative version to the opposite counterparts in the negative version. The purpose of using the negation form of the stimuli was to prevent readers from expecting the congruence of the sentence based on contextual information preceding the main VP.1 Consequently, six sentences were constructed for each set of stimuli.

Both the global sentence comprehensibility (with *lian...dou* construction) and the likelihood of an embedded event happening in daily life (without *lian...dou* construction) were rated on 7-point scales for each sentence by two independent groups of readers in two o -line tests (see Jiang et al., 2013a for details). Among the stimuli selected for the current experiment, the comprehensibility score was the highest for

the congruent sentences (Mean = 6.19, SD = 1.45 out of 5, 1- least comprehensible, 7- most comprehensible), lower for the underspecified sentences (Mean = 5.72, SD = 1.71), and the lowest for the incongruent sentences (Mean = 2.62, SD = 1.82). Reversely, the event likelihood was the highest for the incongruent sentences (Mean = 5.76, SD = 0.92 out of 5, 1-least likely, 5- most likely), lower for the underspecified sentences (Mean = 4.46, SD = 0.83) and the lowest for the congruent sentences (Mean = 2.48, SD = 1.13).

All stimuli were divided into six lists, with each containing 114 formal sentences. Conditions were rotated across lists according to a Latin-square procedure, such that a sentence within a given set appeared only once in each list, and there were equal numbers of sentences per condition per list. In addition, ninety narrative sentences with a canonical structure "Subject noun + Verb + Object noun" were added to each list as fillers to prevent readers from using specific reading strategies generated from certain constructions. In total, each participant was shown a total of 204 sentences which were randomized within the list. Each participant was randomly assigned a list. Ten practice sentences were included at the beginning of each testing session. Among the practice sentences, six had the *lian...dou...* structure, and the other four were narrative sentences without the *lian...dou...* structure.

In each list, seventy sentences, including 40 critical sentences with the *lian...dou...* structure and 30 filler sentences, were randomly selected and followed by a verification statement which required the reader to respond with a yes/no answer. In the 40 verification statements corresponding to the critical sentences, 25 required integrative comprehension of sentential meaning in order for a participant to provide a correct answer. The remaining 15 required information from a specific sentence constituents of the critical sentences, with 9 statements related to adjective phrases, 3 to VP, and 3 to object nouns. Statements concerning the filler sentences were also targeted the meanings

 $^{^1}$ To examine whether the form of sentence (a rmative vs. negative) could module the key e ects of sentence type, we conducted a set of LMM analyses in which the sentence form was included as a fixed factor in addition to the sentence type. These analyses did not produce any reliable interactions between the sentence form and the sentence type for any measures over the two pre-critical regions, critical and post-critical regions (all ts < 1.75), indicating that this variable did not contribute significantly to our key findings.

of either the whole sentences or specific sentence constituents in di erent sentential positions. In this way, we made sure that the participants should have read and comprehended the whole sentences before they responded to the verification statements.

Data Analysis

Several regions-of-interest were predefined for the analysis (see Table 1 for exemplar sentence). The main VP area (e.g., 走过去, meaning come across) was defined as the critical region, where the congruency of the sentence became apparent. The adjective phrase (AP, e.g., 危险的/这样的/安全的, meaning dangerous/such/secure) and the model verb (MV, e.g., 都能/都不能, meaning can/cannot) were defined as the two pre-critical regions. The MV was defined to detect any possible parafoveal e ect on VP that is modulated by the congruency of the sentence. The AP was defined to examine possible regressive saccades into this region due to pragmatic inferences about the likelihood of an unspecified event against the constraints of the lian...dou... and the integration of specified event into the construction that take place on critical VP. Lexical features of AP were measured and controlled across the three conditions. The number of strokes of AP region was similar across the three conditions (all M = 16, ps > 0.05), and mean frequencies of this region were higher in the underspecified condition (1072/million) than those in the other two conditions (collapsing congruent and incongruent condition: 146/million, p < 0.001). The remainder of the sentence that follows the critical region – the commenting clause (e.g., , meaning *he* is so brave) was defined as the post-critical region, making the "unexpectedness" meaning explicit.

We computed di erent eye movement measures that represent di erent processing stages. The measures for early processing include first fixation duration (FFD, the duration of the first fixation on a region during the first pass reading) and gaze duration (GD, the sum of all fixations on a region before moving to another region). The measures for late processing include total fixation duration (TFD, the sum of all fixations that take place on a region). Moreover, to investigate how participants attempted saccadic movement to deal with processing di culty due to incongruence or under-specification, the probability of making a regression in (REG-IN, regressive saccades from the following regions land into the current region) was reported for pre-critical regions and the probability of making a regression out (REG-OUT, saccades departing out of the current region and landing in a previous region, i.e., the interested area at a previous region) was reported for critical and post-critical regions. These two measures indicated the proportion of trials in which a participant made a regressive saccade into/out of a region.

All statistical analysis was performed with linear mixed models (LMM), using the Ime4 package (version 1.1-7) in R (R Core Team, 2014). For all measures per region, we fitted LMM with the maximal random e ects structure (Barr et al., 2013), which included both random intercepts and random slopes for the fixed e ects over both participants and items. Given that our hypothesis was centered on the e ect of pragmatic incongruence and underspecification on eyemovement measures, two contrasts were programed: the first

contrast compared the incongruent with the congruent condition to test the "incongruence" e ect, and the second contrast compared the underspecified with the congruent condition to test the "underspecification" e ect. The congruent condition was treated as baseline in both contrasts to estimate statistical parameters. To reduce the impact of data skewness and facilitate interpretation, all fixation duration measures were analyzed using log-transformed data, and probabilities of regressions were analyzed using logit-link function.

Procedure

Participants were instructed to read sentences in a normal way that ensured comprehension. They were informed that a simple statement would occasionally appear after a sentence, and they should verify whether the statement was consistent with the message conveyed in the critical sentence by pressing a button on the response box. Prior to the experiment, participants were required to complete a three-point horizontal calibration procedure, with an average calibration error below 0.30 degrees. Prior to the start of each trial, a fixation point was presented

on the 457 Td [(on)-550(t)-4 li-11.4(prid(t)-4)--531([(on)-550(t)-4)-sd [(on)-550(t)-4])

TABLE 2 | Eye movement measures for regions of interest, including adjective phrase (AP), dou + modal verb (MV), the main VP and commenting clause (CC) areas.

Measure	Congruent	Underspecified	Incongruent		
Pre-critical region 1 – A	Adjective phras	e (AP)			
FFD (ms)	224(79)	233(83)	222(78)		
GD (ms)	288(151)	337(183)	279(146)		
TFD (ms)	558(339)	635(388)	643(423)		
REG-IN (probability)	0.57(0.50)	0.70(0.46)	0.63(0.48)		
Pre-critical region 2 - I	Dou + modal ve	rb (MV)			
FFD (ms)	252(88)	244(82)	249(88)		
GD (ms)	324(168)	319(167)	325(172)		
TFD (ms)	491(285)	513(316)	553(334)		
REG-IN (probability)	0.32(0.47)	0.32(0.47)	0.38(0.49)		
Critical region - Main \	/P				
REG-OUT (probability)	0.25(0.43)	0.24(0.43)	0.29(0.45)		
FFD (ms)	255(95)	256(96)	256(94)		
GD (ms)	354(193)	354(196)	349(191)		
TFD (ms)	512(317)	524(343)	548(348)		
Post-critical region - C	Commenting cla	use (CC)			
REG-OUT (probability)	0.78(0.42)	0.81(0.39)	0.84(0.37)		
FFD (ms)	284(123)	285(127)	291(126)		
GD (ms)	436(235)	436(250)	440(230)		
TFD (ms)	547(307)	559(327)	608(323)		

FFD, first fixation duration (ms); GD, gaze duration (ms); TFD, total fixation duration (ms); REG-OUT (probability). Probability of regressions-in, i.e., the proportion of regressive saccades on a region from a region with higher index; REG-OUT (probability), Probability of regressions-out, i.e., the proportion of regressing out of a region, limited to the first pass reading of that region.

increased cost for the incongruent sentences during the first-pass reading.

However, for the total fixation duration, readers spent longer time fixating on the AP region when reading the underspecified and incongruent sentences, as compared to reading the congruent sentences (Underspecified vs. Congruent, b=0.13, SE=0.04, t=3.19; Incongruent vs. Congruent, b=0.12, SE=0.03, t=3.70). Furthermore, with more linguistic information accumulated for the underspecified and incongruent conditions, the readers were more likely to make regressions back to the precritical region (Underspecified vs. Congruent, b=0.82, SE=0.20, z=4.21; Incongruent vs. Congruent, b=0.28, SE=0.11, z=2.55).

Pre-critical Region 2 – Model Verb (MV)

The measures on MV may reflect parafoveal congruency e ect on the critical VP prior to the fixation. Readers spent shorter first fixations on the MV region in the underspecified sentences than in the congruent ones (FFD: b=-0.03, SE=0.01, t=-2.14). This reduced FFD on the MV in the underspecified condition might be due to the increased FFD in the same condition on the earlier AP region. The readers may initiate the inference of missing scalar adjectives based on their knowledge or pragmatic constraints of the lian...dou... construction to deal with the uncertainty of event likelihood in the underspecified sentences. With the initial

missing scalar adjectives filled, it may cost less to process the upcoming MV during the first pass reading.

However, later measures showed longer TFD and more REG-IN in the incongruent relative to the congruent sentences (TFD: b = 0.10, SE = 0.02, t = 4.31; REG-IN: b = 0.30, SE = 0.10, z = 2.87). These results suggest that the processing directly for the incongruent condition did not appear as an early parafoveal processing mechanism prior to the fixation. The incongruent condition did not a ect the initial processing of MV, but the later measures, probably involving re-checking linguistic information of event likelihood at earlier regions after the incongruency, has been detected in the later regions.

Critical Region – Verb Phrase (VP)

None of the first-pass reading time measures (including FFD and GD) showed any significant e ects of incongruency or underspecification (all ps > 0.05). However, readers spent longer total fixations on and made more regressive saccades out of VP in the incongruent condition than they did in the congruent condition (TFD: b = 0.06, SE = 0.03, t = 2.07; REG-OUT: b = 0.32, SE = 0.16, z = 2.01). This suggested that readers did not encounter any di culties or initiate any e ort to deal with the di culties immediately after detecting the infelicitous nature of the main clause. The incongruent sentence exhibited prolonged reading time in the later measure of main VP of incongruent sentences.

Post-critical Region – Commenting Clause (CC)

Similar to the findings on VP, readers spent longer TFDs on CC in the incongruent condition than in the congruent condition (b=0.10, SE=0.03, t=3.22). Furthermore, there were significantly more regressive saccades out of the CC region back to previous regions in the incongruent and underspecified conditions than in the congruent condition (Incongruent vs. Congruent, b=0.68, SE=0.20, z=3.32; Underspecified vs. Congruent, b=0.37, SE=0.16, z=2.38). These data suggested that the incongruent pragmatic information did not result in the lengthening of the initial reading time but only prolonged the global reading time at the sentence-final clause. No other e ects were significant for FFD and GD on CC (all ps>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Using the same set of sentence stimuli as the previous study (Jiang et al., 2013a) and taking advantage of the eye-tracking technique, we re-visited the temporal course of processing the construction-based pragmatic constraint (i.e., the event likelihood) during natural Chinese sentence reading. We obtained novel evidence on sentences with *lian...dou...*construction (similar to *even* in English) in which the likelihood of the embedded event to occur was manipulated. By embedding a highly likely or an underspecified event in the sentence, we created the incongruent and the underspecified conditions, and compared each with congruent sentences in which an unexpected event was embedded.

TABLE 3 | Fixed effect estimates for the eye movement measures across pre-critical regions including adjective phrase (AP) and modal verbs (MV).

Effect	FFD			GD			TFD			REG-IN		
	b	SE	t	b	SE	t	b	SE	t	b	SE	z
Pre-critical region 1 – Adjecti	ve phrase (AP)										
Congruent vs. Underspecified	0.03	0.02	1.39	0.12	0.04	3.34	0.13	0.04	3.19	0.82	0.20	4.21
Congruent vs. Incongruent	-0.01	0.01	-0.68	-0.03	0.02	-1.49	0.12	0.03	3.70	0.28	0.11	2.55
Pre-critical region 2 - Dou +	modal verb	(MV)										
Congruent vs. Underspecified	-0.03	0.01	-2.14	-0.02	0.02	-0.79	0.03	0.02	1.17	0.01	0.10	0.08
Congruent vs. Incongruent	-0.01	0.01	-0.83	0.00	0.02	0.06	0.10	0.02	4.31	0.30	0.10	2.87

Significant terms are marked in bold. b, regression coefficient.

TABLE 4 | Fixed effect estimates for the eye movement measures across critical and post-critical regions including main VP and commenting clause (CC).

Effect	REG-OUT				FFD			GD			TFD		
	b	SE	z	b	SE	t	b	SE	t	b	SE	t	
Critical region - Main VP													
Congruent vs. Underspecified	0.07	0.14	0.50	0.00	0.02	0.05	0.00	0.02	0.08	0.01	0.03	0.24	
Congruent vs. Incongruent	0.32	0.16	2.01	0.01	0.02	0.34	-0.01	0.02	_				

extended the findings of Filik et al. (2009), that the e ect of incongruence in sentences with the even construction was not evident until a post critical region, to a language other than English. Presumably, these measures suggest that the increased di culty is initiated by some sort of second-pass processing in search of more information to resolve the incongruence between the current event and pragmatic constraints. When processing lian...dou, to check whether the event indeed fits the lowest end of the pragmatic scale, readers need to contrast a particular event against a set of alternatives on the event likelihood scale, and decide whether this event can be an unexpected candidate or sits at the bottom of the scale. This di culty was increased given the mismatch of the linguistic input and the prediction of the *lian...dou* constraint. Therefore, readers spent more time to recover from this mismatch and probably recheck any further information to resolve such mismatch (Jiang et al., 2013a), resulting in more regression-in on the pre-critical region and regression-outs on the critical/post-critical regions. Increased regressive saccades were reported for sentences with long distance dependencies which demand higher working memory load (e.g., in who does Mary think that John calls? Nicenboim et al., 2015). Here the AP, the key linguistic information that defines the event likelihood, is possibly reactivated on regions following AP and may demand higher working memory load as reflected by more regressive looks to reconfigure the event likelihood in the incongruent condition. The increased reading time on the sentence-final commenting phrase suggested a continued di culty that arose earlier from the critical VP. This sentence wrap-up e ect was consistent with the observation of an increased sustained negativity on that phrase in Jiang et al. (2013a). The pragmatically implausible word increased the rereading time (i.e., total reading time minus gaze duration) and probability of regression-out when it was located at the sentencefinal position (Camblin et al., 2007a). It should be noted that the underspecified condition did not show any e ect on VP but showed more regression out of the sentence-final position, possibly due to an e ort to wrap up the sentence by rechecking previous AP (as reflected by increased regression-ins on AP) against the possibility of specifying the meaning of the event (Zhou et al., 2010; Jiang and Zhou, 2012; Jiang et al., 2013a).

Implications to Models of Pragmatic Processing

Our findings appear to contradict the ERP results (Jiang et al., 2013a) which argue for a "one-step" model of pragmatic processing (Hagoort and Van Berkum, 2007). The eye-tracking data cannot be accommodated easily by the "one-step" but may fit into a "two-step" language processing model. According to the latter model, in the first step, the local, context-independent meaning of a local structure is computed; only when this step is completed, the meaning is computed against the wider sentential, discourse and communicative context or against an individual's pragmatic knowledge (Grice, 1975; Fodor, 1983; Sperber and Wilson, 1995; Cutler and Clifton, 1999; Lattner and Friederici, 2003). This model is in contrast with the "one-step" model which assumes that di erent levels of meanings are activated

simultaneously in the context, resulting in a unified N400 on words in ERPs that mismatched a diverse set of contextual information (Hagoort and Van Berkum, 2007), including the N400 e ect on VP in the incongruent condition in Jiang et al. (2013a). Given that N400 typically indexes the immediate impact of pragmatic constraint during online linguistic processing (Kutas and Federmeier, 2011), it was concluded that the pragmatic information is rapidly used in online sentence reading.

The current data that tracked readers' eve-movement do not fully agree with the conclusion above. In the lian...dou construction, the reader has to form the representation of the event based on the local structure "determiner phrase + object noun + subject noun + VP," of which the likelihood is reversed by lian...dou in the global context. The "one-step" model would predict that pragmatic constraints of lian...dou is used in an immediate manner; this prediction was rejected by the lack of early modulation of congruency manipulation. In contrast, the specification of local event likelihood was manifested as an increased first-pass fixation duration in the underspecified condition, suggesting that the buildup of a local semantic meaning can be early. The lian...dou constraints are taken into account only when local representation is partially built and may be reanalyzed through initiating regressive saccades to the preceding sentential constituents whenever necessary.

The two-stage processing is consistent with recently proposed eye movement control models. For example, the E-Z Reader 10 (Reichle et al., 2009; see Reichle, 2011 for a review) specifies when the higher-level, post-lexical information a ects eye movements during language comprehension. The model assumes that integration of a word into its syntactic and semantic context comes after the process of word identification, which is therefore post-lexical. Staub and colleagues (Staub, 2011; Abbott and Staub, 2015) provided evidence supporting this assumption as they observed that the integration di culty of an implausible word (e.g., the professor repaired the writer with a trusty old wrench) does not appear on the early measures on the critical word (e.g., the skipping rate of writer) but appears downstream of that word. Even though the plausibility e ect can, in some cases, be manifested in the first-pass fixation measures on a target word (Staub et al., 2007; Matsuki et al., 2011), the plausibility and other lexical e ects (e.g., word frequency) are typically additive, suggesting the pragmatic information may not impact local processing in the early time course during sentence reading (Abbott and Staub, 2015). These model-guided experimental findings suggest that computation of plausibility or higher-level pragmatic meaning a ects post-lexical integration, instead of lexical identification itself, during sentence comprehension.

How can we reconcile the contradictory findings between Jiang et al. (2013a) and the current study? In Jiang et al.'s study, each word (or phrase) was presented serially for 400 ms followed by an inter-stimulus interval (ISI) of 400 ms. Previous studies have shown that the presentation rate may a ect the manifestation of di erent cognitive processes: the contextual e ect is more likely to emerge without delay in a prolonged presentation rate (Camblin et al., 2007b). Similarly, the comparatively slower RSVP rates of word presentation in Jiang et al. (2013a) may provide readers with su cient time to integrate

the critical VP with the pragmatic information conveyed by *lian...dou*, allowing the e ect of congruence-related N400 to appear on the VP.

In the current eye-tracking paradigm, sentences were presented as an entirety in one line, and the readers were allowed to preview information and initiate regressive saccades to reanalyze uncertain or incongruent linguistic input. In an ERP study when readers were allowed to read at their own pace. longer reading time was predicted by larger amplitudes of ERP on words mismatching pragmatic constraint (e.g., less plausible sentence: at the breakfast the boy would plant toast and jam, Ditman et al., 2007), indicating that the immediacy of pragmatic congruency is a ected by presentation speed. Moreover, in a task that does not emphasize the verification of acceptability of the sentence (cf. Jiang et al., 2013a), it is likely that the reader may adopt a good-enough strategy (Ferreira et al., 2002; Ferreira and Patson, 2007) as the demand of recovering from the pragmatic incongruence during normal sentence reading is low; consequently the incongruence e ect appears late.

In summary, by using the eye tracking technique, the present study reveals a relatively delayed time course of processing pragmatic constraints during on-line reading of Chinese sentences with *lian...dou...*construction. When reading incongruent sentences, as compared with congruent ones, the reader spends longer total fixations, made more regressive saccades out of the critical regions where pragmatic infelicitousness is initially detected. This finding is comparable to the observation of *even* construction in English (Filik et al., 2009) which showed a delayed processing cost and an e ort of reanalysis for highly likely events used after *even*. The current study provides new evidence showing that the processing of pragmatic constraints of the Chinese *lian...dou...* construction may not interrupt the early stage of lexical processing during

REFERENCES

- Abbott, M., and Staub, A. (2015). The e ect of plausibility on eye movements in reading: testing E-Z Reader's null predictions. *J. Mem. Lang.* 85, 76–87. doi:10.1016/j.jml.2015.07.002
- Barr, D., Levy, R., Scheepers, C., and Tily, H. J. (2013). Random e ects structure for confirmatory hypothesis testing: keep it maximal. *J. Mem. Lang.* 68, 255–278. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2012.11.001
- Bornkessel-Schlesewsky, I., Kretzschmar, F., Tune, S., Wang, L., Genç, S., Philipp, M., et al. (2011). Think globally: cross-linguistic variation in electrophysiological activity during sentence comprehension. *Brain Lang.* 117, 133–152. doi: 10.1016/j.bandl.2010.09.010
- Braze, D., Shankweiler, D., Ni, W., and Palumbo, L. C. (2002). Readers' eye movements distinguish anomalies of form and content. *J. Psycholinguist. Res.* 31, 25–44.
- Camblin, C. C., Gordon, P., and Swaab, T. (2007a). The interplay of discourse congruence and lexical association during sentence processing: evidence from ERPs and eye-tracking. *J. Mem. Lang.* 56, 103–128. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2006.07. 005
- Camblin, C. C., Ledoux, K., Boudewyn, M., Gordon, P. C., and Swaab, T. Y. (2007b). Processing new and repeated names: e ects of coreference on repetition priming with speech and fast RSVP. *Brain Res.* 1146, 172–184. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2006.07.033
- Clifton, C. Jr., Ferreira, F., Henderson, J. M., Inho , A. W., Liversedge, S. P., Reichle, E. D., et al. (2016). Eye movements in reading and information

natural sentence reading, and o ers a methodological perspective that promotes ecological studies of language processing.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Original data covered by this study can be obtained from the corresponding authors upon request.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Academic Committee of the Academy of Psychology and Behaviour, Tianjin Normal University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CZ, XB, GY, XJ, and XZ designed the research. CZ, LZ, and MZ performed the research and analyzed the data. CZ, XB, GY, XJ, ZH, and XZ wrote the manuscript.

FUNDING

We are grateful for support from the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Numbers: 31571122, 31800920, and 81471629), the Special Program of Talents Development for Excellent Youth Scholars in Tianjin, the Creative Research Groups of Excellent Young Scholars at Tianjin Normal University (52WZ1702), and a scholarship from the China Scholarship Council.

- processing: keith Rayner's 40 year legacy. *J. Mem. Lang.* 86, 1–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2015.07.004
- Cutler, A., and Clifton, C. E. (1999). "Comprehending spoken language: a blueprint of the listener," in *The Neurocognition of Language*, eds C. M. Brown and P. Hagoort (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 123–166. doi: 10.1093/acprof: oso/9780198507932.003.0005
- Ditman, T., Holcomb, P. J., and Kuperberg, G. R. (2007). An investigation of concurrent ERP and self-paced reading methodologies. *Psychophysiology* 44, 927–935. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8986.2007.00593.x
- Drieghe, D. (2011). "Parafoveal-on-foveal e ects on eye movements during reading," in *The Oxford Handbook of Eye Movements*, eds S. P. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist, and S. Everling (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 839–856.
- Fauconnier, G. (1975). Pragmatic scales and logical structure. *Linguist. Inq.* 6, 353–375.
- Ferreira, F., Bailey, K. G. D., and Ferraro, V. (2002). Good-enough representations in language comprehension. *Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci.* 11, 11–15. doi: 10.1111/1467-8721.00158
- Ferreira, F., and Patson, N. D. (2007). The 'good enough' approach to language comprehension. *Lang. Linguist. Compass* 1, 71–83. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-818x. 2007.00007.x
- Ferguson, H. J., and Sanford, A. J. (2008). Anomalies in real and counterfactual worlds: An eye-movement investigation. *J. Mem. Lang.* 58, 609–626. doi: 10. 1016/j.jml.2007.06.007
- Filik, R. (2008). Contextual override of pragmatic anomalies: evidence from eye movements. *Cognition* 106, 1038–1046. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2007.04.006

- Filik, R., Paterson, K. B., and Liversedge, S. P. (2009). The influence of only and even on online semantic interpretation. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 16, 678–683. doi: 10.3758/PBR.16.4.678
- Fodor, J. A. (1983). The Modularity of Mind. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press.
- Gibson, E., and Wu, I. H.-H. (2013). Processing Chinese relative clauses in context. *Lang. Cogn. Process.* 28, 125-155. doi: 10.1080/01690965.2010.536656
- Grice, P. (1975). "Logic and conversation," in *Syntax and Semantics 3: Speech Acts*, eds P. Cole and J. L. Morgan (New York, NY: Seminar Press), 41–58.
- Hagoort, P., Hald, L., Bastiaansen, M., and Petersson, K. (2004). Integration of word meaning and world knowledge in language comprehension. *Science* 304, 438–441. doi: 10.1126/science.1095455
- Hagoort, P., and Van Berkum, J. (2007). Beyond the sentence given. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* 362, 801–811. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2089
- Jäger, L., Chen, Z., Li, Q., Lin, C.-J. C., and Vasishth, S. (2015). The subject-relative advantage in Chinese: evidence for expectation-based processing. J. Mem. Lang. 79, 97–120. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2014.10.005
- Jiang, X., Li, Y., and Zhou, X. (2013a). Even a rich man can a ord that expensive house: ERP responses to construction-based pragmatic constraints during sentence comprehension. *Neuropsychologia* 51, 1857–1866. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropsychologia.2013.06.009
- Jiang, X., Li, Y., and Zhou, X. (2013b). Is it over-respectful or disrespectful? Di erential patterns of brain activity in perceiving pragmatic violation of social status information during utterance comprehension. *Neuropsychologia* 51, 2210–2223. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2013.07.021
- Jiang, X., and Zhou, X. (2012). Multiple semantic processes at di erent levels of syntactic hierarchy: does higher-level semantic process proceed in the face of local semantic failure? *Neuropsychologia* 50, 1918–1928. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropsychologia.2012.04.016
- Jiang, X., and Zhou, X. (2014). Yuyong dengji hanyi jiagong de nao yu renzhi jizhi. [Neurocognitive mechanisms of processing the pragmatic implicature]. *Linguist. Res.* 2, 32–42.
- Kennedy, A., Murray, W., and Boissiere, C. (2004). Parafoveal pragmatics revisited. Eur. J. Cogn. Psychol. 16, 128–153. doi: 10.1080/09541440340000187
- Kutas, M., and Federmeier, K. D. (2011). Thirty years and counting: finding meaning in the N400 component of the event-related brain potential (ERP). Annu. Rev. Psychol. 62, 621–647. doi: 10.1146/annurev.psych.093008. 131123
- Lattner, S., and Friederici, A. D. (2003). Talker's voice and gender stereotype in human auditory sentence processing—evidence from event-related brain potentials. *Neurosci. Lett.* 339, 191–194. doi: 10.1016/s0304-3940(03) 00027-2
- Li, S., Jiang, X., Yu, H., and Zhou, X. (2014). Cognitive empathy modulates the processing of pragmatic constraints during sentence comprehension. *Soc. Cogn. A ect. Neurosci.* 9, 1166–1174. doi: 10.1093/scan/nst091
- Matsuki, K., Chow, T., Hare, M., Elman, J. L., Scheepers, C., and McRae, K. (2011). Event-based plausibility immediately influences on-line language comprehension. J. Exp. Psychol.: Learn. Mem. Cogn. 37, 913–934. doi: 10.1037/ a0022964
- Murray, W. S. (1998). "Parafoveal pragmatics," in Eye Guidance in Reading and Scene Perception, ed. G. Underwood (Oxford: Elsevier), 181–200.
- Murray, W. S. (2006). The nature and time course of pragmatic plausibility e ects. J. Psycholinguist. Res. 35, 79–99. doi: 10.1007/s10936-005-9005-4
- Murray, W. S., and Rowan, M. (1998). Early, mandatory, pragmatic processing. J. Psycholinguist. Res. 27, 1–22.
- Ni, W., Fodor, J. D., Crain, S., and Shankweiler, D. (1998). Anomaly detection: eye movement patterns. *J. Psycholinguist. Res.* 27, 515–540.
- Nicenboim, B., Vasishth, S., Gattei, C., Sigman, M., and Kliegl, R. (2015). Working memory di erences in long-distance dependency resolution. *Front. Psychol.* 6:312. doi: 10.3389/fpsyq.2015.00312
- Nieuwland, M. S. (2013). "If a lion could speak...": online sensitivity to propositional truth value of unrealistic counterfactual sentences. *J. Mem. Lang.* 68, 54–67. doi: 10.1016/j.jml.2012.08.003
- Politzer-Ahles, S., Fiorentino, R., Jiang, X., and Zhou, X. (2013). Event-related potential investigation of scalar implicature processing in Chinese using

- picture-sentence verification. *Brain Res.* 1490, 134–152. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres. 2012.10.042
- R Core Team (2014). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rayner, K., Warren, T., Juhasz, B. J., and Liversedge, S. P. (2004). The e ect of plausibility on eye movements in reading. J. Exp. Psychol. Learn. Mem. Cogn. 30, 1290–1301.
- Reichle, E. D. (2011). "Serial-Attention Models of Reading," in *The Oxford Handbook of Eye Movements*, eds S. P. Liversedge, I. D. Gilchrist, and S. Everling (Oxford: Oxford University Press), 767–786.
- Reichle, E. D., Warren, T., and McConnell, K. (2009). Using E-Z Reader to model the e ects of higher-level language processing on eye movements during reading. *Psychon. Bull. Rev.* 16, 1–21. doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2008.12.011
- Sperber, D., and Wilson, D. (1995). *Relevance: Communication and Cognition*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Staub, A. (2011). Word recognition and syntactic attachment in reading: evidence for a staged architecture. J. Exp. Psychol. Gen. 140, 407–433. doi: 10.1037/ a0023517
- Staub, A., Rayner, K., Pollatsek, A., Hyönä, J., and Majewski, H. (2007). The time course of plausibility e ects on eye movements in reading: evidence from noun–noun compounds. J. Exp. Psychol. Learn., Mem. Cogn. 33, 1162–1169. doi: 10.1037/0278-7393.33.6.1162
- Van Berkum, J. J. A., Hagoort, P., and Brown, C. M. (1999). Semantic integration in sentences and discourse: evidence from the N400. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 11, 657–671. doi: 10.1162/089892999563724
- Van Berkum, J. J. A., Van den Brink, D., Tesink, C., Kos, M., and Hagoort, P. (2008). The neural integration of speaker and message. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 20, 580–591. doi: 10.1162/jocn.2008.20054
- Van Berkum, J. J. A., Zwitserlood, P., Hagoort, P., and Brown, C. M. (2003). When and how do listeners relate a sentence to the wider discourse? Evidence from the N400 e ect. *Cogn. Brain Res.* 17, 701–718. doi: 10.1016/s0926-6410(03)00196-4
- Warren, T. (2011). "The influence of implausibility and anomaly on eye movements during reading," in *The Oxford Handbook of Eye Movements*, eds S. P. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist, and S. Everling (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 911–924.
- Xu, X., Chen, Q., Panther, K.-U., and Wu, Y. (2017). Influence of concessive and causal conjunctions on pragmatic processing: online measures from eye movements and self-paced reading. *Discourse Processes* 55, 387–409. doi: 10. 1080/0163853x 2016 1272088
- Yuan, Y. (2006). Lun "lian" ziju de xinxi jiegou tedian.[The information structure of the lian...dou...construction in Mandarin]. *Lang. Sci.* 5, 14–28.
- Zang, C., Liversedge, S. P., Bai, X., and Yan, G. (2011). "Eye movements during Chinese reading," in *The Oxford Handbook of Eye Movements*, eds S. P. Liversedge, I. Gilchrist, and S. Everling (New York, NY: Oxford University Press), 961–978.
- Zhou, X., Jiang, X., Ye, Z., Zhang, Y., Lou, K., and Zhan, W. (2010). Semantic integration processes at di erent levels of syntactic hierarchy during sentence comprehension: an ERP study. *Neuropsychologia* 48, 1551–1562. doi: 10.1016/j. neuropsychologia.2010.02.001
- Zhou, X., Ye, Z., Cheung, H., and Chen, H.-C. (2009). Processing the Chinese language: an introduction. *Lang. Cogn. Process.* 24, 929–946. doi: 10.1080/01690960903201281
- **Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Zang, Zhang, Zhang, Bai, Yan, Jiang, He and Zhou. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.